



Paser District, Indonesia

Local progress report on the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action (2013-2014)

Name of focal point: Valentinus Irawan
Organization: BNPB
Title/Position: Specialist, Focal point for local level actions
E-mail address: valentinus.irawan@gmail.com
Telephone: +628121830220

Reporting period: 2013-2014
Last updated on: 14 December 2014
Print date: 14 December 2014
Reporting language: English

A Local HFA Monitor update published by PreventionWeb
<http://www.preventionweb.net/english/hyogo/progress/reports/>

Priority for Action 1

Ensure that disaster reduction is a national and local priority with a strong institutional basis for implementation

Core Indicator 1.1

National policy and legal framework for disaster risk reduction exists with decentralized responsibilities and capacities at all levels.

How well are local organizations (including local government) equipped with capacities (knowledge, experience, official mandate) for disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

As seen from some documents, by sector local development has integrated with disaster risk reduction with limitation. Local Long Term Development Plan, Strategic Plan and Sector Work Plan in explicit and implicit stated about disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. A sequence of training and education implementing as capacity building on DRR and CCA. Some non government organization at community level working on disaster risk field. Region also participates on REDD+ program (reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation).

To what extent do partnerships exist between communities, private sector and local authorities to reduce risk?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Network between community and local government build up through some program

implementation at local level by government. Socialization, simulation and training on DRR actively participates by community. Participation of private sector is on DRR socialization and drill. Still limited participation from private sector on DRR activities in area. Limited knowledge on importance of DRR to decrease community vulnerability and impact to sector business need to be balanced with more eager DRR education to stakeholders in region.

Core Indicator 1.2

Dedicated and adequate resources are available to implement disaster risk reduction plans and activities at all administrative levels.

How far does the local government have access to adequate financial resources to carry out risk reduction activities?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Budget allocation for disaster risk reduction spread among linked sector such as Local DM Office, Social Office, Health Office, Local Development Planning Body and Local Environment Body. Some budget allocation on disaster risk reduction has resulted physical infrastructure to reduce vulnerability at some prone spots. Amount of budget allocated is consider limit to support risk reduction in district. Local government to find another sources fund and encourage community participation to voluntary participates vulnerability reduce.

To what degree does the local government allocate sufficient financial resources to carry out DRR activities, including effective disaster response and recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Allocation of budget for emergency response is adequate by local government by on-call budget that accessible anytime of disaster occur and fund spread out among linked officials. Lack of budget allocated for disaster risk reduction. DRR knowledge determines level of its applying to local development and leads to level of achievement. Lack of budget for DRR shown that it is not as most priority target by local government.

Core Indicator 1.3

Community participation and decentralization are ensured through the delegation of authority and resources to local levels.

How much does the local government support vulnerable local communities (particularly women, elderly, infirmed, children) to actively participate in risk reduction decision-making, policy making, planning and implementation processes?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local development planning at village level as the first step of national planning process is a potential forum to insert disaster risk reduction into local planning. It is limitation by unpopular DRR issue among community and vulnerable group as the most vulnerable group if disaster occur. Local Disaster Management Office and linked officials is essential to carry out DRR education to community at local level to enhance community comprehension and increase awareness of community political rights on local planning.

To what extent does the local government provide training in risk reduction for local officials and community leaders?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government has formulated official capacity development through District Body for Education and Training and the same program at local institution. These education training is still limited for disaster risk reduction. Local government has to consider DRR education and training for community leaders.

How regularly does the local government conduct awareness-building or education programs on DRR and disaster preparedness for local communities?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Programs include cultural diversity issues	Yes
Programs are sensitive to gender perspectives	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local Disaster Management Office regular and irregular implement education awareness and disaster preparedness program for community. Meanwhile Forestry, Agriculture and Plantation Office regularly conduct forest and farmland fire prevention and it is consider as main hazards in area. Meteorology and Climatology Agency consistently distributes weather information to linked officials and community. Local Environment Body commits environment program information socialization to community as well as Unity and Politic Office socializes land use regulation implementation. Limited routine program on education awareness and preparedness program is essential to be improved by better coordination among officials.

Core Indicator 1.4

A national multi-sectoral platform for disaster risk reduction is functioning.

To what extent does the local government participate in the national DRR planning?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government participation limited to event based. Local DM Office a couple of times involved on DRR program planning with National Disaster Management Agency. Office of Forestry involves on forest planning with national as well as REDD+ program.

Priority for Action 2

Identify, assess and monitor disaster risks and enhance early warning

Core Indicator 2.1

National and local risk assessments based on hazard data and vulnerability information are available and include risk.

To what degree does the local government conducted thorough disaster risk assessments for key vulnerable development sectors in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government has regulated standard operating procedures on local development activities. On environment aspect, environment analysis in carry out to determine development impact to environment. Risk assessment implement based to primary and secondary data and it is available as one of guidance on local development planning. Commitment on risk assessment on local development limited by incapacity human resources, limited budget, inadequate supporting facilities and it is not yet prioritize for assessment of vulnerable keys development.

To what extent are these risk assessments regularly updated, e.g. annually or on a bi-annual basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local DM Office in annual revises risk map and might be based to need. Monitoring and evaluation of risk assessment apply is commit.

Limited supporting data and budget allocation hinder renewal regular of existing risk assessment because it is depends on local development priority.

How well are disaster risk assessments incorporated into all relevant local development planning on a consistent basis?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

One of long term development mission is to create region honor conservation principles that utilize natural resources for development based to sustainable utilization, protection to buffer system, and preservation of biodiversity. Proposes of this mission is conservation area protection to keep its role and function enhances community welfare. Region has established Local Coordination Body on Spatial Use that functioning for formulation and coordination of various local regulation on land use by consider national regulation one; coordinates local land use planning; formulation detail local land use; and integrates and harmonize middle and annual local development plan designed by government, community and business to District Land Use. Local Disaster Management Plan is formulated and Local Long Term Development has formulated by considered risk assessment. Local development by sector has integrated with disaster risk reduction. Zoning area is determines to manage land use for development activities.

To what extent have local schools, hospitals and health facilities received special attention for "all hazard" risk assessments in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Specific program for multi-risk assessment of schools, hospital and health facilities not carry out in region. Local hospital has waste management facilities. Limited budget allocated for these facilities safety and no evaluation commit to overseen these facilities.

How safe are all main schools, hospitals and health facilities from disasters so that they have the ability to remain operational during emergencies?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
Hospitals/ health facilities	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

As experiencing from disaster occurred, schools, hospital and health facilities able to operate during emergency situation could be caused by level of disaster, distance of facilities from disaster spot and area of these facilities developed. Until now, linked officials not carry out measurement to see ability of these facilities to operate during disaster

Core Indicator 2.2

Systems are in place to monitor, archive and disseminate data on key hazards and vulnerabilities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 2.3

Early warning systems are in place for all major hazards, with outreach to

communities.

To what extent are early warning centres established, adequately staffed (or on-call personnel) and well resourced (power back ups, equipment redundancy etc) at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some early warning established by sectors. Meteorology and Climatology Agency has weather monitor equipment that formulates into weather information to community; Forestry, Agriculture and Plantation Office has early warning system for forest and farmland fire. Risk communication to community also establish by local private radio, independent local radio, mosque loud speaker and bell church.

How much do warning systems allow for adequate community participation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

It is experiencing that local community has limited capacity to adopt risk information spread out caused by lack socialization and involvement of community on early warning system. Private sector has includes surround community on early warning system as well as attendance of disaster cares community group.

Core Indicator 2.4

National and local risk assessments take account of regional/trans-boundary risks, with a view to regional cooperation on risk reduction.

How well are local government risk assessments linked to, and supportive of, risk assessments from neighbouring local authorities and state or provincial government risk management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

District has established risk assessment with province and some private sectors conduct risk assessment related to these company activities. Coordination with neighbouring area is important to be increased by local government.

Priority for Action 3

Use knowledge, innovation and education to build a culture of safety and resilience at all levels

Core Indicator 3.1

Relevant information on disasters is available and accessible at all levels, to all stakeholders (through networks, development of information sharing systems, etc).

How regularly does the local government communicate to the community, information on local hazard trends and risk reduction measures (e.g. using a Risk Communications Plan) including early warnings of likely hazard impact?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local DM Office, Social Office, Health Office, Agriculture Office, Climatology and Climatology Agency and Forestry Office actively involve on risk information spread out to community. It is establish as regular or irregular activities depends on budget allocation or accidentally event based to hazards existing. At community level there is risk communication group. Local radio also participates to distribute risk information to community. Weather information spread out by Meteorology and Climatology Agency; Forestry Office monitor forest and fire hazards. Risk information constrained by limited early warning facilities and ability of community to absorb information.

Core Indicator 3.2

School curricula, education material and relevant trainings include disaster risk reduction and recovery concepts and practices.

To what degree do local schools and colleges include courses, education or training in disaster risk reduction (including climate related risks) as part of the education curriculum?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

After school activities has integrated with disaster risk reduction aspect and university student conduct community empowerment program on environment protection. Local government is essential to facilitate integration of disaster risk reduction into local curriculum at school and university level. Allocation of education budget in region to be directed for DRR program implementation.

Core Indicator 3.3

Research methods and tools for multi-risk assessments and cost benefit analysis are developed and strengthened.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 3.4

Countrywide public awareness strategy exists to stimulate a culture of disaster resilience, with outreach to urban and rural communities.

-- No questions related to local context --

Priority for Action 4

Reduce the underlying risk factors

Core Indicator 4.1

Disaster risk reduction is an integral objective of environment related policies and plans, including for land use, natural resource management and adaptation to climate change.

How well integrated are local government DRR policies, strategies and implementation plans with existing environmental development and natural resource management plans?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local document such as Land Use and Spatial Plan, regional development plan, Officials strategic plan, disaster management plan and linked local regulation to environment aspect is existing to facilitate integration of disaster risk reduction into environment planning and natural resources management. Based to sector, local institution implementing disaster risk reduction with limitation of achievement. From mining aspect it is regulated land reclamation post exploration. Zoning area is determines in Land Use regulation. Effectively of these regulations achievement on DRR is hinder with lack comprehension and commitment on law enforcement.

How far do land use policies and planning regulations for housing and development infrastructure take current and projected disaster risk (including climate related risks) into account?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Housing

Yes

Communication

No

Transportation

Yes

Energy

Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Land Use and housing and infrastructures planning regulation in region has regulated by local government. Housing sector has managed by Environment Analysis Impact, Development Permit, Environment Preservation Document (UKL), Environment Supervision Document (UPL) and Building Permit Issuance. On transportation sector also applying , Environment Preservation Document, Environment Supervision Document and Building Permit Issuance. Energy sector development regulated by Environment Analysis Impact, Location Permit, Location Map, Building Permit Issuance and Development Permit and recommendation from linked officials/Mining and Energy Ministry. Local Land Use regulation stated assessment of development activities apply to (1) physical construction cover security, safety, health and orderliness; (2) environment analysis adjust to impact caused by development activities as AMDAL, UKP/UPL based to regulations; (3) Plan and execution of development based to physical and building classification.

These regulations apply to manage existing and future risk in region but ineffective caused by weak supervision and law enforcement.

How well are risk-sensitive land use regulations and building codes, health and safety codes enforced across all development zones and building types?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local land use has been regulated through District Land Use; mechanism of reward and punishment on public building development and local wisdom on vulnerable community development activities. From administration side, requirement of development activities in regard to construction and safety aspect is fulfilled and it is frequently violated during development process. Land conversion and uncompleted safety facilities found out. Strict supervision, apply of punish and reward and strong commitment of local officials is defines success of land use and local development

activities regulation implementation.

How strong are existing regulations (e.g. land use plans, building codes etc) to support disaster risk reduction in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

A mechanism of procedures and supervision report on implementation of regulation linked to disaster risk reduction is applicable in region among others Land Use, Spatial Plan and Building Codes regulation. Implementation of these regulation is considering weak in region caused by limited operational budget allocation impact to low supervision and availability of facilities. Coordination among sectors is requires to fluently supervision activities.

To what degree does the local government support the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Forests	Yes
Coastal zones	No
Wetlands	Yes
Water resources	Yes
River basins	Yes
Fisheries	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local long term development has formulated one of purpose on environment protection to assure sustainability development. It is a very important aspect consider regional incomes is derives from natural resources and environment exploration. Local government through local regulations issuance, strategic plan and annual work plan by sector has include forest, wetland, water resources, watershed and fisheries. Forest program cover reforestation , reclamation and re-vegetation. Wetland also very important to priority in area consider a part of area cover by wetland. Agriculture office implementing safe pesticide fertilizer of farming activity. River is an important in region as transportation facilities and livelihood activity. Embankment, reforestation and land conservation is apply to watershed area by linked officials. Land conversion misappropriate to land use, river abrasion, deforestation and shifting cultivation is occurring in region and constrained DRR achievement on decrease area vulnerability.

How much do civil society organizations and citizens participate in the restoration, protection and sustainable management of ecosystems services?

Level of Progress achieved: 3

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some civil society organization actively participate on environment program in region. One of these group working on palm crop oil as concomitant of area exploitation for palm crop investment. Anti-mining organization also exist to balance exploration of natural resources in region. Indonesian Environment Forum also working in area to protect area as a rich sources of natural resources.

How much does the private sector participate in the implementation of environmental and ecosystems management plans in your local authority?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Corporate social responsibility program from private company through community empowerment program has initiated program on DRR by involvement on forest and farmland fire prevention program and reforestation program. It is considered lack of contribution of private sector on environment and ecosystem program in area. Some of private sector activities disturb environment balance by pollution, fauna ecosystem disturbance as well as water resources decrease caused by palm crop activities.

Core Indicator 4.2

Social development policies and plans are being implemented to reduce the vulnerability of populations most at risk.

What is the scope of financial services (e.g. saving and credit schemes, macro and micro-insurance) available to vulnerable and marginalised households for pre- disaster times?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Limited information accessible by vulnerable household on financial services pre disaster. There are some financial services program at officials targeting poor community as well as bank. Specific approach to be built by local government to assure those program reach poor/vulnerable community at prone area.

To what extent are micro finance, cash aid, soft loans, lone guarantees etc available to affected households after disasters to restart livelihoods?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Very limited financial services available for affected households to restart livelihood activities post disaster. Linked institution to include financial services program for affected households post disaster into sector planning.

Core Indicator 4.3

Economic and productive sectorial policies and plans have been implemented to reduce the vulnerability of economic activities.

How well established are economic incentives for investing in disaster risk reduction for households and businesses (e.g. reduced insurance premiums for households, tax holidays for businesses)?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Local government to set up a mechanism of economic incentives for DRR investment. Province and national government has a big role by regulation and fund support in regard to economic incentive for DRR investment.

To what extent do local business associations, such as chambers of commerce and similar, support efforts of small enterprises for business continuity during and after disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Corporate social responsibility from private sector limited participate on development of small and middle enterprises in region. Coordination on CSR target should coordinates by local government to assure small and middle enterprises is support during and post disaster.

Core Indicator 4.4

Planning and management of human settlements incorporate disaster risk reduction elements, including enforcement of building codes.

How adequately are critical public facilities and infrastructure located in high risk areas assessed for all hazard risks and safety?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some regulations has stated environment analysis documents on prior to development activities and function of maintenance public facilities and infrastructures embedded to specific officials. Number of budget allocation not able fulfill needs to carry out risk assessment toward these facilities.

How adequate are the measures that are being undertaken to protect critical public facilities and infrastructure from damage during disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Limited budget allocation for protect critical public facilities and infrastructures damage during disaster. Linked Officials has embedded on purpose to protect these facilities and its implementation limited by budget and human resources capacity as well as supporting facilities.

Core Indicator 4.5

Disaster risk reduction measures are integrated into post disaster recovery and rehabilitation processes.

How well are disaster risk reduction measures integrated into post-disaster recovery and rehabilitation activities (i.e. build back better, livelihoods rehabilitation)?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Social and economic rehabilitation and reconstruction is available and lack of assessment caused inappropriateness to real needs.

Core Indicator 4.6

Procedures are in place to assess the disaster risk impacts of major development projects, especially infrastructure.

To what degree do local government or other levels of government have special programs in place to regularly assess schools, hospitals and health facilities for maintenance, compliance with building codes, general safety, weather-related risks etc.?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Schools	Yes
Hospitals/ health facilities	Yes

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Regulation on maintenance, requirements of building permit issuance, general safety is available in region. These regulations not yet follow up with real action implementation caused by limited comprehension, budget allocation, human resources capacity, supervision and law enforcement.

Priority for Action 5

Strengthen disaster preparedness for effective response at all levels

Core Indicator 5.1

Strong policy, technical and institutional capacities and mechanisms for disaster risk management, with a disaster risk reduction perspective are in place.

-- No questions related to local context --

Core Indicator 5.2

Disaster preparedness plans and contingency plans are in place at all administrative levels, and regular training drills and rehearsals are held to test and develop disaster response programmes.

How regularly are training drills and rehearsal carried out with the participation of relevant government, non-governmental, local leaders and volunteers?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

There is no routine drill and training implementing by participation of stakeholders in region. National Indonesia Police and private company conduct drill on forest and farmland fire drill. Some drill activities in region support by Province DM Office. Coordination among stakeholders is important to assure effectively of drill conduct and work plan of sector to be included by drill and training on existing hazards in area to familiarize community and stakeholder to preparedness program.

How available are key resources for effective response, such as emergency supplies, emergency shelters, identified evacuation

routes and contingency plans at all times?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Stockpiles of relief supplies	Yes
Emergency shelters	No
Safe evacuation routes identified	No
Contingency plan or community disaster preparedness plan for all major hazards	No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Some main resources is available for disaster response that allocated by sector and support from province and national. Region experiencing limited facilities and supporting facilities to run emergency response. Only Military Unit possess emergency plan. Local government is urge to develop emergency response support to assure rapid and efficient management of emergency response to safe more lives.

To what extent does the local government have an emergency operations centre (EOC) and/or an emergency communication system?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

On emergency cases, Local Disaster Management Office establish Emergency Command Post and coordinate with Province Emergency Operating Center and linked Officials and non government organization that have early warning system. Local radio organization and private radio, Police, Military, Communication Office and Forestry Office are has early warning communication system but limited capacity for operation.

How aware are citizens of evacuation plans or drills for evacuations when necessary?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Limited contingency plans available in area own by local government. In the mean time, comprehension and involvement of community on contingency plan and evacuation drills is lack considering limited activities carry out by local institution on issues. Contingency plans only available at military and private sector.

To what degree does the Contingency Plan (or similar plan) include an outline strategy for post disaster recovery and reconstruction, including needs assessments and livelihoods rehabilitation?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Regional has limit human resources on contingency plan formulation and right now depends on national and province support. Limited contingency plan in region and strategic of recovery and reconstruction post disaster not yet prioritize.

How far are regular disaster preparedness drills undertaken in schools, hospitals and health facilities?

Level of Progress achieved: 1

Schools	No
---------	----

Hospitals/ health facilities

No

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Limited local development priority for school, hospital and health facilities describes low priority of preparedness program caused by limited comprehension and budget allocation

Core Indicator 5.3

Financial reserves and contingency mechanisms are in place to support effective response and recovery when required.

To what degree do local institutions have access to financial reserves to support effective disaster response and early recovery?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

On-call budget is allocated by local government for emergency response which accessible when disaster occurs and local government accessible of fund from private sector.

How much access does the local government have to resources and expertise to assist victims of psycho-social (psychological, emotional) impacts of disasters?

Level of Progress achieved: 2

Description of Progress & Achievements:

Social Office has limited resources of psycho social support for support people impact by disaster. Region has potential access to private sector and university as well as linked official on provision of psycho support to community post disaster. It is not yet prioritize by local government on disaster management activities.

Core Indicator 5.4

Procedures are in place to exchange relevant information during hazard events and disasters, and to undertake post-event reviews.

-- No questions related to local context --